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## Dear Reader:

Enclosed is the first Rangeland Program Summary (RPS) update for the Owy hee Resource Area. It incorporates changes made in the rangeland management program since the release of the Owyhee RPS in March 1981. This document also describes progress achieved, outlines decision modifications, and projects future needs and management direction.

In a spirit of cooperation through consultation and coordination with public rangeland users, we are pleased to report that we have achieved significant progress in improving the public rangelands in the Owyhee Resource Area. We believe that most grazing allotments are showing signs of marked improvement.

With the reductions in appropriations we are presently experiencing, we do not anticipate such a rapid increase in range condition as previously realized. We will need to rely on livestock, wild horse and wildife management techniques, and livestock permittee cooperation to keep the pendulum swinging in the right direction.

Management of the public lands is a shared responsibility, and we will continue to solicit your ideas and support.

Thank you for your interest and cooperation, and I look forward to your continued contribution and assistance in managing the public rangelands.


## INTRODUCTION

This report is an update of the management actions taken since the initial Rangeland Program Summary (RPS) was released in March of 1981. The Owyhee RPS summarized the land use plan decision related to the rangeland management program.

The Owyhee RPS was distributed for public review and comment in March of 1981. The proposed program consisted of the following major actions:
(1) balance available forage between wildlife, wild horses, livestock and non-consumptive uses;
(2) application of three levels of management (intensive, less intensive, and management with private lands) and implementation of grazing systems on intensive management allotments;
(3) adjusting livestock use so that $50 \%$ of "key" forage species production remains annually for non-consumptive resource use.
(4) development of new rangeland improvements that will protect and enhance resource values; and
(5) monitoring and evaluation.

There are five allotments discussed in this RPS update that were not discussed or analyzed in the Owyhee EIS or Owyhee RPS. The allotments are the Garat, Garat Individual, Tent Creek, " 45 ", and Owyhee allotments. The Tend Creek Allotment came about as a result of dividing the original "45" Allotment into two allotments, the Tent Creek and "45" allotments. The Owyhee Allotment was formerly called Roaring Springs Allotment.

These allotments were originally analyzed in the Bruneau-Kuna EIS dated June 1982. Information was summarized in the Bruneau-Kuna RPS dated June 1983.

We believe that we have achieved significant progress toward meeting the overall objectives of the Owyhee Management Framework Plan. These objectives include the improvement of watershed and wildlife habitat conditions and providing forage for livestock, wildlife and wild horses.

Grazing Use Adjustments
Grazing decisions for individual allotments, primarily the "I" or intensive management category allotments and the less intensive allotments along with those allotments fenced with private land (FFR) were issued beginning in 1981. These decisions outline rangeland management objectives for the allotments, as well as identify the livestock grazing use period, and specific grazing systems (see Table l). While some initial adjustments in grazing use levels were made, consistent with available monitoring data, reductions or increases in livestock grazing use identified in the RPS have generally not been implemented. A change in Bureau policy in 1982 specified
that one time inventories, in the absence of reliable monitoring data, were not to be used as a basis for changes in livestock use levels. The resource area is in the process of gathering the necessary monitoring data to supplement other information gathered. (For more detail, see monitoring sectior in this document.)

By September 1985, one hundred fifty-three (153) grazing decisions were issued affecting fifty-seven (57) of the sixty-eight (68) "I" category allotments. Decisions or management agreements were also implemented on an additional ten (10) less intensive management category allotments and thirty (30) fenced with private lands category allotments.

Management agreements or decisions will be prepared on three (3) of the remaining eleven (11) intensive management category allotments by the end of fiscal year 87. The remaining eight (8) will be written in fiscal years 88 and 89. Nine (9) of the remaining eleven (11) allotments have approved category systems operating, implemented by mutual agreement.

Grazing decisions or agreements were not prepared for Whitehorse
 the Whitehorse Allotment, which lies in Oregon, was assumed by the Vale Oregon District BLM in 1980. Cherry Creek Allotment is now correctly considered to be a part of Cliffs Allotment (非0501) and will be treated in the Cliffs Allotment management agreement. Thirty-three (33) allotments were divided and/or combined with other allotments or allotment boundaries were adjusted to facilitate more effective management and accommodate effective rotation grazing systems. These changes are noted in Table 1 by an asterisk (*). More specific information as to each individual change can be obtained from the Owyhee Resource Area office.

The Owyhee Rangeland Program Summary Report of March 1981, outlines the proposed stocking rate, season of use, type of grazing system and number of pastures proposed for each allotment. Few decisions or agreements were developed exactly as proposed. Modifications were based on coordination and consultation with livestock permittees, state natural resource representatives, various rangeland user groups, individuals; allotment monitoring data; and on the expertise of BLM resource area and district specialists. Future changes in the rangeland management program are anticipated. These changes will be based on the criteria listed above, and are intended to accommodate changing resource conditions and the accomplishment of the rangeland management objectives outlined in the RPS.

Five (5) coordinated resource management plans (CRMP's) and fourteen (14) allotment management plans (AMP's) have been prepared and implemented. While this is considerably short of the identified need for sixty-seven (67); additional AMP's or CRMP's will be developed as manpower and funding are available.

## Wild Horses

Wild horse numbers were reduced by capture of 588 horses during the fall of 1980 , 1982, and 1984. Wild horse numbers in the six (6) affected allotments are presently below the maximum recommended in the Owyhee

Management Framework Plan. Future removal efforts will probably occur at two to three year intervals, subject to availability of funds and depending on the increase in wild horse numbers.

## Project Development

The 1981 Rangeland Program summary (RPS) identified the need for developing and/or constructing approximately 81 springs, 90 reservoirs, 24 miles of pipeline, 100 watering troughs, and 153 miles of fence to implement the grazing management program. The RPS identified the need to control western juniper and big sagebrush on 67,000 acres and seed with a suitable grass/forb/shrub mixture. Another 172,000 acres were identified as needing some form of brush control, but not requiring seeding.

The RPS also targeted some 64 miles of fencing along perennial streams to provide specialized grazing management for improving riparian habitat.

The RPS proposed that fencing and water developments be completed within five years following the issuance of the grazing decision. One-third (1/3) of the land treatments were planned for completion during the first five year periods following completion of the RPS. The remaining land treatments are to be completed over two subsequent five year periods.

As of September 1, 1986, the following rangeland improvements were constructed:

> 18 spring developments
> 99 reservoirs
> 42 miles of pipeline
> 51 watering troughs
> 121 miles of fencing

Approximately 8,160 acres of rangeland were prescribe-burned and seeded with a suitable grass/forb/shrub or grass/forb mixture. Another 10,001 acres were treated by prescribed burning only to control western juniper and/or big sagebrush, but not seeded. No herbicide treatment of big sagebrush was done.

One and one-half miles of the North Fork of Castle Creek and one mile of Currant Creek riparian/meadow areas were protected by fencing. Twenty-one (21) wildife exclosures were constructed around water developments or riparian areas.

Priorities for project development were based on the priority assignment given to each allotment in the Rangeland Program Summary, on benefit cost/analysis done for each project or allotment, on the contribution of the range user, and on existing resource conditions and needs.

Site specific environmental assessments (EAs) were prepared for each range improvement project. In some instances, reservoirs were substituted for similar proposed water developments, such as springs or vice versa. These changes were the result of project site investigation, and review through the EA process. Some projects were eliminated because detailed
investigation indicated that construction costs would exceed potential benefits or because of poor site characteristics. Other projects were modified or eliminated, particularly brush control or brush control/seeding, when the EA revealed conflicts with wildiife habitat, wilderness study areas, visual quality, cultural resources, or threatened and endangered wildife or plant species. Overall, these changes have resulted and will continue to result in a more effective range improvement program which meets resource objectives. The size or amount of the remaining planned range improvements may be further adjusted as detailed field examinations identify specific problems or needs.

A majority of the structural projects, i.e. springs, reservoirs, pipelines, and fences, have been completed. Future emphasis will be on brush control, particularly prescribed burning, and brush control/seeding projects. The number of acres to be treated depends upon funding levels, which appear to be lower in the coming years. Not all the planned range improvement work will likely be accomplished in the desired time frame. For information on complete projects see Table 2.

## Objectives

1. Improve ecological conditions throughout the EIS area from the present condition classes of 57 percent poor, 35 percent fair, five percent good and five percent treated to no more than 26 percent poor, 27 percent fair, at least 20 percent good, two percent excellent and approximately 25 percent treated within 20 years. The ultimate objective is to improve all areas to no less than good condition (including the treated areas).
2. Increase the useable livestock forage from the present production of 78,300 AUMs to 142,800 AUMs within 20 years and ultimately to 191,200 AUMs.

## Monitoring

The monitoring program was initiated to evaluate impacts of livestock grazing and will measure the progress toward meeting the objectives. Where specific objectives are not met as shown on decisions, agreements or management plans, adjustments in the season of use, livestock numbers (including removal) or grazing systems would be made depending on indicated need.

Monitoring of the intensive management category allotments began in 1981 following issuance of the Owyhee RPS. Initial monitoring consisted primarily of the collection of utilization, actual use, and climatic data. Photographs were also taken as utilization data was obtained. No trend plots were established until 1983. Since 1983, our efforts have been primarily directed toward obtaining baseline trend data to supplement data collected in previous years. Priority for implementing adequate monitoring systems was based on the need to evaluate management actions on: (1) Allotment Management Plans and Coordinated Resource Management Plans; and (2) other "I" category allotments where earlier monitoring data indicated possible resource conflicts or potential problems. By September 1985,
baseline trend plots had been established on eighteen (18) "I" category allotments, primarily those on which AMP's and CRMP's were implemented. Additionally, browse transects have been established in nine (9) allotments considered to be part of the critical mule deer winter range. Utilization data and photographs have been collected on all "I" category allotments. Establishment of existing monitoring data was a high priority work item for FY-86. Trend plots will be established on all "I" category allotments as funding and manpower is available. More precipitation data is also needed. The plan is to put out severeal precipitation stations at the permittee's ranch headquarters. These will be scattered throughout the area to get a good cross section of data. Evaluation of the results obtained from adequate monitoring systems will be the basis for making any future adjustments in allotment grazing use.

For information as to the establishment of monitoring data collection see Table 3. The table shows to date the type of monitoring study established, and those studies needed by allotment. The table also shows the priority listing of allotments to establish monitoring on. As you can see in the table we prioritized by groups of allotments. Criteria used in our prioritization were wildife conflicts, wilderness study allotments, allotment condition, and other resource conflicts.
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| 0534 | Box "T" | 7,476 | 1,821 | 738 | 1,821 | 2/11/83 | 6/16-10/31 | 6/1-11/31 | 3DR | 2DR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0535 | Fossil Butte | 40,881 | 1,401 | 1,936 | 1,484 | 12/29/82 | 10/1-2/15 | 10/1-2/15 | 1D | SLW |
| 0536 | South Dougal | 4,139 | 374 | 231 | 374 | 12/29/81 | 6/16-9/30 | 6/16-9/30 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0539 | Trout Springs | 31,369 | 2,927 | 2,020 | 2,927 | Pending | 4/16-9/30 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{RR}, 2 \mathrm{DR} \\ \text { 1DAS } \end{gathered}$ | . |
| 0540 | Bull Basin | 44,403 | 3,726 | 2,417 | 3,726 | Pending | 4/16-9/30 |  | 3RR,1DAS |  |
| 0541 | Whitehorse/ Antelope | 38,961 | 4,345 | 3,420 | 4,345 |  | 4/16-10/31 |  | 2DR, 3RR |  |
| 0546 | Pleasant Valley | 10,394 | 927 | 763 | 927 | 2/9/82 | 5/16-10/31 | 5/16-10/31 | 2RR,1DAS | 3DR |
| 0552 | Glass Creek | 1,776 | 139 | 120 | 139 | 12/29/81 | 5/1-8/31 | 4/16-12/31 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0553 | Gluch | 220 | 50 | 40 | 50 | 9/21/84 | 4/16-8/31 | 4/16-8/31 | 2DR | 1 DR |
| 0554 | Gusman | 15,753 | 2,371 | 771 | 2,371 | 2/3/82 | 5/1-9/30 | 4/16-9/30 | 2RR, 3RR | 2RR, 2DR |
| 0556 | Shares Basin | 10,846 | 1,532 | 1,621 | 1,621 | 2/21/84 | 5/1-10/31 | 4/1-10/31 | 3RR,1DAS | 1RR, 2DR |
| 0557 | Madriaga | 1,444 | 255 | 84 | 255 | 2/5/82 | 5/1-10/31 | 4/16-9/15 | S-L, $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ - | 1DR |
| 0562 | Cow Creek | 7,984 | 1,214 | 1,175 | 1,214 | 7/3/86 | 6/1-9/30 | 4/16-9/30 | 3DR | 5DR |
| 0565 | Rockville | 13,526 | 2,288 | 2,371 | 2,288 | 4/5/84 | 4/1-10/31 | 4/1-10/31 | 2DR,1DAS | 4DR, 1DAS |
| 0568 | Graveyard Point | 3,114 | 113 | 145 | 129 | 2/3/82 | 4/16-6/15 | 4/1-6/15 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0569 | Silver City | 53,251 | 6,586 | 5,025 | 6,586 | 8/30/82 | 4/16-10/31 | 4/1-10/31 | 2RR, 2DR | 4RR, 2DR |
| 0570 | Jump Creek | 9,434 | 1,127 | 1,204 | 1,127 | 4/1/86 | 7/1-10/31 | 7/1-9/30 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0571 | Con Shea | 24,386 | 1,491 | 1,668 | 1,668 | 8/30/82 | 11/1-1/31 | 11/1-2/28 | 1SLW | 1SLW |
| 0572 | Burgess | 1,230 | 240 | 166 | 240 | 5/4/82 | 5/1-10/31 | 5/1-10/31 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0574 | West Antelope | 11,558 | 2,603 | 772 | 2,603 | 2/5/82 | 5/16-9/30 | 5/16-10/15 | 2RR, 2DR | 3DR |
| 0578 | Sinker Butte | 7,910 | 707 | 490 | 707 | 4/22/83 | 10/1-1/31 | 11/15-2/28 | 1SLW | 1SLW |
| 0579 | Diamond Basin | 11,421 | 1,463 | 1,337 | 1,463 | 4/25/83 | 4/16-10/31 | 4/1-10/31 | 3DR, 2DR | 6DR |
| 0580 | Louse Creek | 20,497 | 3,084 | 1,423 | 3,084 | Pending | 6/1-9/30 |  | 2DR, 2RR |  |
| 0584 | Garat | 207,219 | 33,305 | 13,670 | 33,305 | Pending | 4/1-9/30 |  |  |  |
| 0585 | Brown's Creek | 4,108 | 1,057 | 682 | 793 | 5/22/81 | 4/16-10/31 | 5/16-10/31 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0587 | Lone Tree | 10,199 | 2,244 | 688 | 2,244 | 4/13/84 | 5/16-10/31 | 4/1-6/15 | 4 RR | 6DR |
| 0588 | Red Mountain | 14,173 | 2,710 | 1,781 | 2,304 | 7/1/83 | 4/16-6/30 | 6/1-10/31 | 2RR | 3RR |
| 0589 | Boone Peak | 10,811 | 2,094 | 916 | 2,094 | 7/5/83 | 6/1-10/31 | 7/1-10/31 | 2DR | 2DR |
| 0590 | Bridge Creek | 2,513 | 664 | 230 | 664 | 7/5/83 | 7/1-10/31 | 7/1-10/31 | 1DAS | 1DAS |
| 0593 | Crutcher Crossing | 3,667 | 90 | 212 | 138 | 4/22/82 | 7/1-11/30 | 4/16-10/15 | 1DAS | 1 DR |
| 0595 | Combination Creek | 3,618 | 516 | 453 | 516 | 5/17/85 | 7/1-9/30 | 6/1-10/31 | 1D | 1D |
| 0597 | Wroten | 1,636 | 200 | 355 | 400 | 5/4/82 | 5/1-9/30 | 4/1-9/30 | 2DR | 1DR |
| 0599 | Burghardt | 14,961 | 1,754 | 601 | 1,868 | 4/25/83 | 5/1-10/31 | 5/1-11/30 | 3RR | 3DR |
| 0600 | South Mountain | 3,719 | 378 | 511 | 380 | 3/29/85 | 5/1-9/30 | 4/20-11/15 | 2/3SR | 2 DR |
| 0601 | Louisa Creek | 10,121 | 1,868 | 422 | 2,152 | 4/22/84 | 5/16-10/31 | 5/1-10/31 | 4 DR | 3DR, 2RR |
| 0603 | Poison Creek | 7,760 | 380 | 408 | 380 | 4/25/84 | 4/16-6/30 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{DR}, 3 \mathrm{RR} \\ & 1 \mathrm{DAS} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 0629 | "45" | 66,110 | 2,152 | 2,152 | 2,152 | Pending | 3/1-2/28 |  | 1DAS |  |
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Maher FFR
W. Maher FFR
Miller FFR
Jim's Peak FFR
Bogus Creek FFR
Goose Creek FFR
Big Field FFR
Moore FFR
Baltzor FFR
Stanford FFR
Berrett FFR
Staples FFR
Payne FFR
R. Collins FFR
Steiner FFR
Tyson FFR
Johnstone FFR
Evans FFR
Bass FFR
Mason FFR
Bull Basin FFR
Jaca FFR
Burghardt FFR
Nahas FFR
Rail Creek FFR
Kershner FFR
Bahem FFR
Swisher FFR
Burgess FFR
Alder Creek FFR
Bachlor Flat FFR
Stahle FFR
Jump Creek FFR
Montini FFR
Howl Creek FFR
Louse Creek FFR
Walt's Pond FFR

[^0]1/ Grazing System - Example:

| 1/ Grazing System | Example: $\frac{3}{\text { Number of Pastures }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Abbreviation  <br> D  <br> DAS $\frac{\text { System }}{\text { Deferment }}$ <br> DR Deferred after seed ripe <br> R Deferred rotation <br> RR Rest and use alternate years <br> SL Rest rotation <br>  Season long |  |

Spring $=3 / 1-6 / 15 \quad$ Summer $=6 / 16-8 / 31$
2/ Shows the 1981 grazing preference and RPS boundaries.
3/ The RPS recommendation was changed via a grazing decision.
4/ These AUM's are allowed as temporary non-renewable until a fixed AUM figure can be determined.
5/ By decision these allotments were split away from the Indian Meadows Allotment.

7/ AUMs are allowed as a temporary non-renewable until a fixed AUM figure can be determined.

* Allotments divided and/or combined with other allotments, or allotment boundaries adjusted to facilitate more effective management and

[^1]TABLE 2
COMPLETED RANGELAND IMPROVEMENTS

| Allotment |  | Types of Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spring |  |  |  | Pipe- | Burn | Burn \& |  |
|  |  | Developments | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { Reser-\| } \\ & \mid \text { voirs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fences } \\ \text { (mi) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mid \text { Cattle- } \\ \mid \text { guards } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lines! } \\ & \text { (mi) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \mathrm{nly} \\ & (\mathrm{ac}) \end{aligned}$ | Seed <br> (ac) | \|We11s |
| No. | Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104501 | Swisher \| | 3 | 3 | 3.6 | 2 |  | 780 |  |  |
|  | Springs \| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0501| | Cliffs \| |  | 2 |  |  |  | 200 | 400 |  |
| \|0502| | Long Valley \| | 1 | I | 1.1 |  |  | 200 | 100 | I |
| \| 0503 | | Flint Creek |  | 1 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0505| | Morgan |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |
| \|0506| | McBride/ |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jackson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10507 | Palmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0508| | Reynolds Creek\| |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4.51 |  |  |  |
| \|0513| | Elephant Buttel |  | 1 | 0.5 |  | 2.3 \| |  |  |  |
| \|0514| | Alkali/Wildcat |  |  |  |  | 1.0 \| |  |  | 1 |
| \|0516| | Hardtrigger \| | 1 |  | 4.0 |  | 1.51 |  |  |  |
| \|0517| | Rabbit Creek \| |  |  | 4.8 |  | 8.51 |  |  |  |
| \|0519| | Strodes Basin \| |  | 3 | 3.8 |  |  | 566 | 1,295 |  |
| \|0521| | Sands Basin \| |  | 2 | 2.2 |  |  | 800 | 1,600 |  |
| \|0522| | Rat's Nest |  |  |  |  | $1.0 \mid$ |  |  |  |
| \|0525| | Juniper Spring |  | 1 | 1.5 |  | 4.1 | 390 | 1,600 |  |
| \|0526| | Boulder Flat \| |  | 5 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0529| | Trout Creek \| |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0530| | \|Baxter Basin | |  | \| | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0531| | Joint |  | 1 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| \|0532| | Hart Creek \| |  | 1 | 2.2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| \|0533| | North Castle \| |  |  | . 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0535| | Fossil Butte |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| \|0536| | South Dougal |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0539| | Trout Springs |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 850 | 400 |  |
| \|0540| | Bull Basin |  | 2 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| \| 0541 | Whitehorse |  | 41 | 6.5 | 1. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Antelope |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0546| | Pleasant | 1 | 3 | 6.5 |  |  | 2,500 | 500 |  |
| 11 | Valley \| |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10552 \mid$ | Glass Creek \| |  | 1 | 2.0 | 1 |  |  | 550 |  |
| \|0553| | Gluch |  | 1 | 1.8 |  |  | 100 | 165 |  |
| $10554 \mid$ | Gusman | 8 | 5 | 6.0 | 1 |  |  | 200 |  |
| \|0556| | Shares Basin | 2 |  | . 8 |  | 5.01 |  |  |  |
| \| 05571 | Madriaga |  | 1 \| |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0562| | Trout Creek |  |  | 3.0 | 1 |  |  | 600 |  |
| \|0565| | Rockville |  | 4 | 3.5 |  | . 51 |  |  |  |
| \| 05691 | Silver City |  | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | $1.0 \mid$ |  |  |  |
| \|0571| | l Con Shea |  | 11 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 900*1 |  |
| 105721 | Burgess | 1 | 1 \| |  |  |  |  | 401 |  |
| 105741 | West Antelope |  | 5 | . 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $10578 \mid$ | Sinker Butte |  | 1 \| | 1.2 |  | 3.01 |  | $790 * 1$ | 1 |
| \| $0580 \mid$ | Louse Creek |  |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2 COMPLETED RANGELAND IMPROVEMENTS (continued)


* Additional wildfire acres burned and seeded.
** Additional wildfire acres burned.
*** These allotments were analyzed and summarized in the Bruneau-Kuna EIS and RangeProgram Summary.

TABLE 3
ALLOTMENT MONITORING, PRIORITY LIST

| Allotment | Actual | Utili- |  | Field | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1 Name | Use | \|zation| | \|Trend| | Observation\| | \|Studies| | Priority |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0501|c1iffs | 0 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10539\|Trout Springs | 0 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10554 \|Gusman | X | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10541 \| Whitehorse | 0 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \| Antelope |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10517\|Rabbit Creek/ | 10 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \| Peters Gulch |  | 1 I |  |  |  |  |
| \|0651|East Reynolds | 10 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10580\|Louse Creek | 10 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \|0522|Rats Nest | 0 | X | X | X I |  | 1 |
| 10503\|F1int Creek | 0 | \| X | X | X \| |  | 1 |
| \|0589|Boone Peak | 0 | \| X | X | X I |  | 1 |
| 10588\|Red Mountain | 0 | I X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \|0502|Long Valley | 1 X | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10599\|Burghardt | X | I X | X | X |  | 1 |
|  | X | I X | 0 | X | X | 1 |
| \|0506 | McBride/Jackson | 0 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \|0569|Silver City | 10 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10531\|Joint | 0 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \|0585|Brown's Creek | 0 | I X | X | X |  | 1 |
| \|0601|Louisa Creek | 0 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10652 \|Soda Creek | 0 | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10540\|Bull Basin | X | \| X | X |  |  | 1 |
| \| 0579 | Diamond Basin | 0 | X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10529 \|Trout Creek | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| \| 0634 |Castlehead/Lambert | 0 | X | X | X | X | 1 |
| \|0661|Tent Creek * | 0 | \| X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| \|0636/Owyhee * | 0 | \| X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| \|0629 |"45" * | 0 | \| X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| 10584 \|Garat * | X | \| X | X | X |  | 1 |
| 10524 \|Garat Ind. * | 0 | \| X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| \|0516|Hardtrigger | 10 | \| X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| 10667\|Nicke1 Creek | 0 | X | X | X | X | 1 |
| 10635\|Pole Creek | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| 10593\|Crutcher Crossing | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 1 |
| 10532\|Hart Creek | 0 | X | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0519|Strodes Basin | X | X | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0565|Rockvi11e | X | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \|0525|Juniper Springs | X | X | X | X | X | 2 |
| 10508\|Reynolds Creek | 0 | X | X | X |  | 2 |
| 10557\|Madriaga | 0 | X | X | X |  | 2 |
| 10630\|Upper Deep Creek | X | X | X | X | X | 2 |
| \|0509|Boulder Flat Ind. | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| 10574\|West Antelope | X | X | X | X | X | 2 |
| \|0533|North Castle | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| 10556\|Shares Basin | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \|0570 Jump Creek | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |

TABLE 3
ALLOTMENT MONITORING, PRIORITY LIST (Con't)

| Allotment | Actual | Utili- |  | Field | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1 Name | Use | \|zation| | \|Trend | \|Observation| | Studies | Priority |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|0595 | Combination | 0 | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | X | X | X | 2 |
| \| 0526|Boulder Flat | 0 | X 1 | 01 | X |  | 2 |
| \| 0505 |Morgan | 0 | X 1 | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \|0631|Lower Deep Creek | X | X I | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0600|South Mountain | 0 | X \| | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \| 0562 |Cow Creek | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \| 0450 | Swisher Springs | 0 | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0603|Poison Creek | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \|0552|Glass Creek | 0 | X \| | X | X |  | 2 |
| \| 0587 |Lone Tree | X | X | 01 | X |  | 2 |
| \|0518|French John | 0 | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | 0 | X |  | 2 |
| \| 0534 |Box "T" | 0 | X 1 | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0590|Bridge Creek | 0 | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | X | X |  | 2 |
| 10572 \| Burgess | X | X | X | X |  | 2 |
| \|0515|B1ackstock Springs | X | X \| | X | X |  | 3 |
| \| 0514 |A1kali/Wildcat | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| \|0643|North Florence | 0 | X ! | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| 1 \| Spring |  | \| | 11 |  |  |  |
| \|0530|Baxter Basin | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| 10660\|Sage Hen | 0 | \| | 11 | X |  | 3 |
| \|0568|Graveyard Point | X | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | X | X |  | 3 |
| 10513\|Elephant Butte | X | X \| | X | X |  | 3 |
| 10553 \|Gluch | X | $\mathrm{X} \quad 1$ | X | X | X | 3 |
| \|0536|South Dougal | X | X \| | 0 |  |  | 3 |
| \|0521|Sands Basin | 0 | X \| | 0 | X | X | 3 |
| \| 0507 |Palmer | X | X | X | X | X | 3 |
| 10645\|Windy Point | 0 | X \| | X | X | X | 3 |
| 10597 \|Wroten | X | X \| | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| 10648\|West Castle | 0 | X I | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| 10578\|Sinker Butte | 0 | X \| | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| \|0571|Con Shea | 0 | X | 0 | X |  | 3 |
| \|0535|Fossil Butte | 0 | X \| | 0 | X | \| X | 3 |
| $1 \quad 1$ |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |

X - Established monitoring studies.
0 - Needed monitoring studies.

*     - These allotments were analyzed in the Bruneau-Kuna EIS and summarized in the Bruneau-Kuna Range Program Summary.
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[^0]:    0566
    0567
    0575
    0576
    0577
    0582
    0594
    0606
    0607
    0608
    0609
    0610
    0611
    0612
    0613
    0616
    0618
    0619
    0620
    0621
    0623
    0624
    0625
    0626
    0627
    0632
    0633
    0637
    0638
    0639
    0640
    0641
    0646
    0654
    0655
    0658
    0659

[^1]:    These allotments were analyzed in the Bruneau-Kuna EIS. Information pertaining to these allotments were obtained from the Bruneau-Kuna RPS dated June 1983.

